LBL-High p_T meeting

http://www-cdf.lbl.gov/∼currat/talks/

Charles Currat
LBNL

January 30, 2003

- Correction for time dependence in the plug calorimeters
- Dijet balance data/MC comparison

Time dependence in the plug

- Several methods have been assessed (source data, "loose" interpolation between laser runs)
- Current prescription based on laser runs in plug, tower-by-tower (Howard B. & Beate H.), "tight" interpolation:
  - around 130 files covering runs 134898 (Dec'01) to 155348 (Dec'02)
  - still not definitive (normalization issue), new set of files to be released soon
- Meantime, quick & dirty check: apply the (tower) correction as is at jet level according to jet's 4-vector (no reclustering, no reprocessing)
- Switched from customized ntuples (covering Feb'02–Jun'02, $\sim 20\, pb^{-1}$) to `STntuples` (Anwar's v. 4.9.1 production, covering Dec'01–Dec'02, $\sim 100\, pb^{-1}$) ⇨ considerably more (disk space required $0.7Gb \longrightarrow 100Gb$, time to process/transfer, ... technical impediments)

Dijet balance — uncorrected

Reminder. Already shown a couple of times. Feb'02 – June'02





☞ Significant slopes

Correction function





☞ Amplitude O(10%)

Correction function

Plug calorimeters, $|\eta| > 1.8$ region. Jet cone 0.7





☞ Looks OK! Watch out for offset

Dijet MC sample

- In charge of producing the `STntuples` for the `jtopXd, X=2,4-9` samples (respectively with $p_T > 18, 0, 5, 10, 40, 60, 90$ GeV cut at parton level, 3M + 6*0.5M events). Last `X=7-9` samples (still) running
- Needed to assess the p_T-dependent relative corrections in the simulation
- ...and have a better look to the "cracks structure"

Dijet balance — old MC comparison

Back to August 2002, `CdfSim v. 4.5.3` and 0.7 cone



☞ Cracks structure...

Jet EM fraction — no jet corrections

Now with `CdfSim v. 4.9.1` and 0.4/0.7/1.0 cones (`JetClu`)







☞ Whole central including $90°$-crack looks OK ... WHA cracks ?!

Dijet balance — no jet corrections

Now with `CdfSim v. 4.9.1` and 0.4/0.7/1.0 cones (`JetClu`)







☞ Whole central including $90°$-crack looks OK ... WHA cracks ?!

Dijet balance — with time correction

Now with `CdfSim v. 4.9.1` and 0.4/0.7/1.0 cones (`JetClu`)







☞ Whole central including $90°$-crack looks OK ... WHA cracks ?!

Data/MC comparison – WHA issue

Rebinned. Blue lines delimit towers `ieta=19,...,14`

JetAna: dijet balance



JetAna: jet EM fraction



Data/MC comparison – WHA issue

- Resuscitated `Gflash` toolbox to investigate about E-scale in WHA (no tuning has ever been done...)
- Shooting single particles in individual towers, scan the cracks



☞ Is it a problem in the simulation (best case) or with the data (worst case) ?